

Report Identification Number: AL-16-023

Prepared by: New York State Office of Children & Family Services

Issue Date: Aug 15, 2017

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
☐ The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
☐ The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized
agency. The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

Relationships							
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child					
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child					
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father					
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider					
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father					
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle					
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub					
CH/CHN-Child/Children							
	Contacts						
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner					
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services					
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother					
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation							
	Allegations						
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts					
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding					
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse					
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect					
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive					
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision					
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other						
	Miscellaneous						
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender					
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence					
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police					
Service	Services	Department					
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care					
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services					
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan					
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment					
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old					



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased Jurisdiction: Montgomery Date of Death: 08/27/2016

Age: 1 year(s) Gender: Female Initial Date OCFS Notified: 08/27/2016

Presenting Information

On 8/27/16 Montgomery County Department of Social Services(MCDSS) received an SCR report stating that morning the SC was found by SM and(PS in her bed unresponsive. A 911 call was made and EMS and LE responded to the home. EMS personnel attempted to resuscitate the SC but their attempts were unsuccessful. It was reported the SC had no known medical conditions and was considered an otherwise healthy child, making the death suspicious.

Executive Summary

This report involves the death of an eighteen-month-old female child in Montgomery County. On 8/27/16 Montgomery County Department of Social Services (MSDSS) received an SCR report alleging the SC was found unresponsive and the death was being considered suspicious since the child was otherwise a healthy child. At the time of the child's death MCDSS had an open CPS investigation.

Upon notice of the fatality, MCDSS initiated the investigation. MCDSS contacted LE and coordinated investigative actions. LE had already been to the home and taken statements from the SM and PS. LE shared what had occurred at the home upon their arrival and stated that drug paraphernalia and marijuana was found in the MGP's room where the door had been locked and was confiscated. LE accompanied MCDSS to the home were the SM and PS were located. MCDSS spoke the SM and PS who were in the home at the time of the SC's death. The SS was away with the MGP and would be returning home later that evening. MCDSS requested a time line and the activities that occurred over the past few days. From this joint interview with SM and PS it was learned that the child had sustained a bruise to her left forehead which concerned the mother. The child was sleeping a lot and not eating and was brought to the ER on 8/24/17. No serious injuries were found and medication was prescribed for an illness found. Although, at times the SC had shown some signs of improvement she was still not eating and acting like herself. The SM stated she had planned on bringing the child back to the ER on 8/27/16.

Upon his return the SS was observed, spoken too and living arrangements seen and was determined there were no safety concerns.

During the first 48 hours of the fatality investigation MCDSS learned that the PS was residing in the home and listed as OA in the household in a May 2016 child fatality in Montgomery County. MCDSS immediately went to the home of the MGP and addressed this concern with all adults. MCDSS advised the adults it was in their best interest for the PS not to reside in the home. LE and the Medical Examiner were informed of this information. The SM'Smedications and the medications provided to the SC just prior to her death were given to LE. LE requested additional contact with the PS which he initially agreed to but upon obtaining an attorney he was advised not to speak with LE.

MCDSS continued to complete home visits and meet with the mother, MGPs and SS and attempted to secure additional information around the fatality. The family was out of the area for a while. MCDSS had contact information and upon their returned learned the mother was pregnant with the PS child. The family raised the issue that there was no legal basis for the PS to remain out of the home and indicated he would be returning.

On 12/9/16 MCDSS learned from the coroner the SC died as a result of acute pneumonia. On 12/22/16 MCDSS unfounded the allegations against the SM. MCDSS offered the SM preventive services which she did not accept. Information on grief counseling was provided.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

AL-16-023 FINAL Page 3 of 13



Safety Assessment:

- Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:
 - Approved Initial Safety Assessment?

No

o Safety assessment due at the time of determination?

Yes

• Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?

Yes

Determination:

• Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?

Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.

• Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

Yes

Explain:

MCDSS maintained ongoing contact with the family and monitored the SS. Additional information regarding SM mental health issues and the PS and PS drug use just prior to the fatality should of been addressed.

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

Yes

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory Yes

or regulatory requirements?

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

Yes, the case record notes a consultation took place, but no

details noted.

Explain:

The case was closed after learning of the cause of death. Additional information from the PS could not be obtained once he obtained an attorney.

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \boxtimes Yes \square No

The thirt inquire	in the compliance issue(s).
Issue:	Overall Completeness and Adequacy of Investigation
Summary:	There was insufficient information gathered around the PS and SM drug use, based on their admission they used marijuana the night the SC died and the fact that marijuana was taken by LE from the home the day of the SC's death.
Legal Reference:	SSL 424.6; 18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3) and 18 NYCRR 432.2 (b)(3)(iii)(c)
Action:	MCDSS must implement a practice whereby sufficient information is obtained to address the concerns learned during the investigation of the report.
Issue:	Adequacy of Documentation of Safety Assessments



Siimmary:	MCDSS also did not complete the risk assessment accurately in the PS was not included as the secondary caretaker.
Legal Reference:	18 NYCRR432.2(b)(3)(ii)(c)&(iii)(b)
Action:	MCDSS must implement procedures to oversee safety assessments and risk assessment profiles are accurately completed and sufficient information has been obtained to appropriately identify safety and risk factors.

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

Incident Information							
Date of Death: 08/27/2016	Tin	me of Death: 09:50 AM					
Time of fatal incident, if dif	ferent than time of death:		Unknown				
County where fatality incid	ent occurred:		Montgomery				
Was 911 or local emergency	y number called?		Yes				
Time of Call:			08:00 AM				
Did EMS to respond to the	scene?		Yes				
At time of incident leading	to death, had child used alcohol or	r drugs?	No				
Child's activity at time of ir	ıcident:	_					
⊠ Sleeping	☐ Working	Driving /	Vehicle occupant				
Playing	☐ Eating	Unknown	1				
Other	-						

Did child have supervision at time of incident leading to death? Yes How long before incident was the child last seen by caretaker? 10 Hours Is the caretaker listed in the Household Composition? Yes - Caregiver 1 At time of incident supervisor was: Unknown if they were impaired.

Total number of deaths at incident event:

Children ages 0-18: 1
Adults: 0

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Female	19 Month(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Grandparent	No Role	Male	52 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Grandparent	No Role	Female	47 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	21 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother's Partner	No Role	Male	21 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	3 Year(s)

AL-16-023 FINAL Page 5 of 13



LDSS Response

MCDSS initially contacted LE to coordinate investigation actions and obtain information around the death. LE responded to the 911 call along with EMS. LE had taken statements from SM and PS but accompanied CPS to the home where the SM and PS were located. The SS was with the MGP in Maine and had not yet returned home. MCDSS jointly spoke with SM and PS and asked for details of the days prior to the SC's death. SM provided most of the initial details; which included that on 8/24/16 SM took SC to ER. SM stated she observed a bruise over SC's right eye when she got up from her nap. SM did not know how the child sustained the bruise but stated the SC at times threw temper tantrums or perhaps she had fallen and hit her head. SM reported ER did not find any head trauma but found SM had illness to her eye, was prescribed medication and instructed to follow-up with her pediatrician the next day. SM stated over the next couple of days the SC was not herself; child slept a lot and wouldn't eat. SM stated on 8/26/16 SC seemed a little better but not herself and SM stated she planned on returning to the ER on 8/27/16. SM stated on 8/26/16 she left the SC with PS while she attended class. She stated the SC was up and drinking fluids but still not eating. PS stated SC remained up a little while and was playing with the dog. PS said he made the SC some lunch but she only drank the liquid in it and a few minutes later he noticed SC had fallen asleep and PS put her in her bed. SC remained asleep until SM returned. At 2:00pm SM said she woke up SC and she seemed a little better but after speaking with MGP the SM was still going to bring SC to ER the following day. SM stated SC was a little fussy when she put her to bed, but SC went to sleep and didn't wake up during the night. On 8/27/16 SM and PS both woke up around 8:00am and SC was not awake yet. Both adults went to SC's room to check on her and found the SC unresponsive. SM and PS provided different details around the position they found the SC in. A 911 call was made and EMS and LE responded to the home. SC was not taken to the hospital. SC was pronounced deceased at home by Coroner and transported to morgue.

MGP and SS returned home later that day. MCDSS met with them and observed the SS. The SS was observed to be healthy and their home found to be appropriate. MGP reported SM had agreed for the MGP to care for the SS until she could do so. MGP provided details of their contact with SM while away and much of the information was the same as what SM had already reported.

LE attended the autopsy and shared with MCDSS that no signs of trauma were found nor was an immediate cause of death determined. LE also shared with MCDSS that while at the home in response to the 911 call marijuana and drug paraphernalia was found on the bed in the SM and PS room.

MCDSS learned within the first few days of the investigation the PS was an OA in the household on another recent fatality in Montgomery County and had babysat for the child the hours prior to her death. MCDSS immediately met with MGP's, PS and SM and discussed the concern and recommended that the PS not reside in the home until the investigation was completed. LE also went to the home and took the SM's medication and the medication given to the SC prior to her death. LE also requested additional meeting with PS when he initially agree to but obtained an attorney who advised not to have any further contact with LE. The went to stay in Maine for a period and MCDSS had contact information for them while gone.

MCDSS maintained contact with the family. Upon their return SM discussed that she was pregnant, the PS was the father of her unborn child and the hardship of not allowing PS to reside inside the home.

On 12/9/16 MCDSS learned the child died from acute pneumonia. On 12/29?16 report was unfounded. Preventive Services were offered and refused by SM and information on grief counseling was provided.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Natural

Primary Cause of Death: From a medical cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Coroner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

AL-16-023 FINAL Page 6 of 13



Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)?No

Comments: MCDSS consulted and worked with LE during this investigation.

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

Comments: Montgomery County does not have a approved CFRT.

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
038402 - Deceased Child, Female, 19 Month(s)	038407 - Mother, Female, 21 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
038402 - Deceased Child, Female, 19 Month(s)	·	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	\boxtimes			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	\boxtimes			
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	\boxtimes			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?			\square	
Contact with source?	\boxtimes			
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?		\boxtimes		
Case Planners				
Public or Private Child Care				
Caretakers / Babysitters				\boxtimes
School				
Daycare Provider				
Was a death-scene investigation performed?			\boxtimes	
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?	\boxtimes			
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	\boxtimes			
Did the investigation adhere to established protocols for a joint investigation?				
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?				

Fatality Safety Assessment Activities



	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	\boxtimes			
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate dang in the household named in the report:	ger to sur	viving sib	lings/oth	er children
Within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
At 7 days?		\boxtimes		
At 30 days?	\boxtimes			
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?				
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?		\boxtimes		
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?			\boxtimes	
Explain: No seven-day safety assessment was completed. The 24 hour safety assessment an SCR report alleging DOA/Fatality. The seven-day assessment is required in completion of a 24hr safety assessment does not satisfy the requirement to compassessments are required.	all invest	igations o	f SCR rep	orts. The
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Profile			
Tatality Risk 1858655 ment / Risk 1858655 ment /	Tome			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?		\boxtimes		
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?				
Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?				\boxtimes
Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?				
Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	\boxtimes			
Explain: The mother's paramour was not identified as a secondary caretaker.				
Placement Activities in Response to the Fatality In	nvestigatio	n		
Tracement Activities in Acsponse to the Patanty II	cstigatio			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to



Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?		
Were there surviving children in the household that were removed either as a result of this fatality report / investigation or for reasons unrelated to this fatality?		
Explain as necessary: No safety issues that required the removal of the surviving sibling.		

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

	Provided	Offered,	Offered,	Needed	Needed		CDR
Services	After	but	Unknown	but not	but	N/A	Lead to
Services	Death	Refused	if Used		Unavaliable	1 1//A	Referral
Bereavement counseling							
Economic support						\boxtimes	
Funeral arrangements						\boxtimes	
Housing assistance		\boxtimes					
Mental health services		\boxtimes					
Foster care						\boxtimes	
Health care						\boxtimes	
Legal services							
Family planning						\boxtimes	
Homemaking Services						\boxtimes	
Parenting Skills						\boxtimes	
Domestic Violence Services						\boxtimes	
Early Intervention						\boxtimes	
Alcohol/Substance abuse						\boxtimes	
Child Care						\boxtimes	
Intensive case management						\boxtimes	
Family or others as safety resources						\boxtimes	
Other						\boxtimes	

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes Explain:



The family was provided with information on counseling services and offered preventive services.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? N/A

Explain:

There were no immediate needs identified.

History Prior to the Fatality

Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death? Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? No Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death? No Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death? No

CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
07/30/2016	Deceased Child, Female, 18 Months	Mother, Male, 21 Years	Inadequate Guardianship		Yes
	Deceased Child, Female, 18 Months	Mother, Male, 21 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unfounded	
	Sibling, Male, 3 Years	Mother, Male, 21 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unfounded	
	Sibling, Male, 3 Years	Mother, Male, 21 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded	
	Deceased Child, Female, 18 Months	Mother, Male, 21 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded	
	Sibling, Male, 3 Years	Mother, Male, 21 Years	Inadequate Guardianship		

Report Summary:

The report alleged the SM leaves the SS and SC alone for 30-60 minutes at a time. Additionally, it was alleged the home was infested with bed bugs.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 11/16/2015

Basis for Determination:

The determination stated the home was found to be clean and free of bugs. The mother denied she left the children alone or unattended for any length of time. The children were observed and found to be clean and healthy.

OCFS Review Results:

OCFS found MCDSS investigation of this report was in process at the time of the death of the child. The SM left her residence and subsequently moved into the MGP home with her PS, SS and SC. MCDSS had learned there was a prior

AL-16-023 FINAL Page 10 of 13



issue with bed bugs in the SM's residence and the SC had been brought to the pediatrician for "scabieactions" but was diagnosed with eczema. Case record documents CPS asked SM to take photographs of SC but no indication that occurred or the rash was observed.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? XYes No

Issue:

Overall Completeness and Adequacy of Investigation

Summary:

On 8/4/16 MCDSS shared with SC's pediatrician the SC had a rash which SM stated had been diagnosed as eczema covered the child's entire forehead, arms and legs. The pediatrician stated the rash must of gotten worse and he wanted to see SC again. MCDSS did not inform the mother of the pediatrician's request prior to death od SC on 8/27/16.

Legal Reference:

SSL 424(6); 18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)

Action:

MCDSS must implement a protocol whereby child's need for medical attention are addressed in a timely manner.

Issue:

Adequacy of case recording

Summary:

Case record does not document the MCDSS observed the rash, documentation of the extent of the rash or photographed the rash.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 428.5(c)

Action:

MCDSS must take steps to make sure case notes reflect observation of children and what was seen.

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
10/10/2014	Sibling, Male, 18 Months		Excessive Corporal Punishment	Indicated	Yes
	Sibling, Male, 18 Months	Mother's Partner, Male, 23 Years	Lacerations / Bruises / Welts	Indicated	
	Sibling, Male, 18 Months	Mother's Partner, Male, 23 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Indicated	

Report Summary:

The PS physically assaulted 18-month-old SS and SM. The SS sustained bruising to his back and buttocks and a laceration to the corner of his right eye. the SS had bruises in various stages of healing. It was reported the PS beat the SS with broomstick or another object. The SM sustained bruising to her throat from being choked and bruises to both arms from being restrained.

Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 01/13/2015

Basis for Determination:

The SS was found to have bruising in different stages of healing and a laceration to his right eye. The PS was charged with Reckless Assault and did serve jail time because of the charges. An OOP was issued. It was found SM acted appropriately by calling LE and removing herself from the situation.

OCFS Review Results:

OCFS found that MCDSS contacted collateral sources which identified concerns around SM's care of the SS including drug use, the people she leaves the SS with and a prior CPS history in Florida. Allegations identified were not fully

AL-16-023 FINAL Page 11 of 13



explored with mother. Mother denied using drugs but positive for marijuana during her pregnancy at the time. Caretakers and their appropriateness were not explored. The seven-day safety assessment identified the child was not completed accurately. controlling interventions, PS arrested and SM obtaining OOP are controlling interventions. The SS would not be safe without those interventions.

accurately. controlling interventions, PS arrested and SM obtaining OOP are controlling interventions. The SS would not be safe without those interventions.						
Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \Begin{aligned} & \text{Yes} & \text{\$\Box\$No} \end{aligned}						
Issue:						
Overall Completeness and Adequacy of Investigation						
Summary:						
MCDSS did not completely investigate allegations identified by collateral contacts during the investigation. MCDSS did not contact a pertinent collateral contact.						
Legal Reference:						
SSL 424.6; 18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3) and 18 NYCRR 432.2 (b)(3)(iii)(c)						
Action:						
MCDSS must develop protocols to make sure concerns identified during an investigation are appropriately and completely addressed. The protocol must include insurance that pertinent collateral sources are contacted						
Issue:						
Timely/Adequate Seven Day Assessment						
Summary:						
MCDSS did not accurately complete the seven-day safety assessment.						
Legal Reference:						
SSL 424(3);18 NYCRR432.2(b)(3)(ii)(c)						
Action:						
MCDSS must develop a protocol to make sure seven day seven assessments are accurately completed.						
CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality						
There is no CDS history more than three years prior to the fatality on the subject shill as her suspicion sibling						
There is no CPS history more than three years prior to the fatality on the subject child or her surviving sibling. Known CPS History Outside of NYS						
Known Crs flistory Outside of NYS						
There is no known CPS history outside of New York State.						
Required Action(s)						
Are there Required Actions related to compliance issues for provisions of CPS or Preventive services ? ☐Yes ☑No						
Duovantina Campiana History						

Freventive Services History

There is no record of Preventive Services History provided to the deceased child, the deceased child's siblings, and/or the other children residing in the deceased child's household at the time of the fatality.

Casework Contacts



	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine		
Were face-to-face contacts with the child in the child's placement location made with the required frequency?			\boxtimes			
Required Action(s)						
Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issues for provision of Foster Care Services? ☐Yes ☑No						
Foster Care Placement History						
There is no record of foster care placement history provided to the deceased child other children residing in the deceased child's household at the time of the fatali	ty.	eased chil	ld's siblin	gs, and/or the		
Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the	Fatality					
Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investiga	ation? Th	ere was n	o legal act	ivity		
Recommended Action(s)						
Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or poli	icy chang	es? [Y	es No			
Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review	$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_{e}$	$\sim N_0$				