

Report Identification Number: RO-16-010

Prepared by: Rochester Regional Office

Issue Date: Feb 16, 2017

| This | s report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: |
|------|--|
| | A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child. |
| X | The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case. |
| | The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency. |
| | The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case. |

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

| Relationships | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| BM-Biological Mother | SM-Subject Mother | SC-Subject Child | | | |
| BF-Biological Father | SF-Subject Father | OC-Other Child | | | |
| MGM-Maternal Grand Mother | MGF-Maternal Grand Father | FF-Foster Father | | | |
| PGM-Paternal Grand Mother | PGF-Paternal Grand Father | DCP-Day Care Provider | | | |
| MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother | MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father | PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father | | | |
| PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother | MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle | PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle | | | |
| FM-Foster Mother | SS-Surviving Sibling | | | | |

| Contacts | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| LE-Law Enforcement | CW-Case Worker | CP-Case Planner | | | | |
| DrDoctor | ME-Medical Examiner | EMS-Emergency Medical Services | | | | |
| DC-Day Care | FD-Fire Department | BM-Biological Mother | | | | |
| CPR-Cardio-pulmonary Resuscitation | | | | | | |
| | Allegations | | | | | |
| FX-Fractures | II-Internal Injuries | L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts | | | | |
| S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains | C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking | B/S-Burns/Scalding | | | | |
| P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance | XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment | PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse | | | | |
| CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use | LMC-Lack of Medical Care | EdN-Educational Neglect | | | | |
| EN-Emotional Neglect | SA-Sexual Abuse | M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive | | | | |
| IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter | IG-Inadequate Guardianship | LS-Lack of Supervision | | | | |
| Ab-Abandonment | OTH/COI-Others | | | | | |
| | Miscellaneous | | | | | |
| IND-Indicated | UNF-Unfounded | SO-Sexual Offender | | | | |
| Sub-Substantiated | Unsub-Unsubstantiated | DV-Domestic Violence | | | | |
| LDSS-Local Department of Social | ACS-Administration for Children's | NYPD-New York City Police | | | | |
| Service | Services | Department | | | | |
| PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services | TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families | FC-Foster Care | | | | |
| MH-Mental Health | ER-Emergency Room | | | | | |

Case Information

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 2 of 17



Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Monroe **Date of Death:** 07/06/2016

Age: 2 month(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 07/06/2016

Presenting Information

According to the Agency Reporting form submitted by the Monroe County Department of Human Services (MCDHS), the subject child (SC) was born on 4/17/16 and diagnosed with congenital heart disease. His aorta and pulmonary arteries were transposed which caused issues with the oxygenation of his blood pumping from his heart to his body. The SC had surgery and remained on life support. The parents signed a DNR order and the SC was removed from life support due to the grim prognosis. The SC died on 7/6/16 at 10:29 am while in the hospital due to complications from congenital heart disease.

Executive Summary

This fatality report concerns the death of a two-month-old male that occurred on 7/6/16. The death certificate indicated the manner was from natural causes. However, the Office of Vital Statistics no longer provides the cause of death due to confidentiality reasons. Upon the SC's birth, MCDHS added him to the families' open services case with a protective program choice as he remained safe at the hospital.

The SC was born on 4/17/16 with congenital heart disease and had heart surgery. While in the hospital, the SC encountered several other medical conditions and his heart stopped on numerous occasions where he was revived by medical staff. The medical staff informed the parents of the SC's grim prognosis and stated that he would unlikely recover from his medical conditions. As a result, the parents signed a DNR order. On 7/5/16, the parents requested that the SC be removed from life support and only receive pain medications. The subject child died on 7/6/16 at 10:29 am

MCDHS met with the family and offered grief services. MCDHS spoke with appropriate collateral contacts.

The 15yo half-sister remained home on trial discharge. The twins and 9yo half-sister remained in foster care placement. MCDHS continued meeting with the family and addressing any concerns.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

- Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:
 - Safety assessment due at the time of determination?

N/A

Determination:

- $\bullet \quad \text{Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations N/A as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation? }$
- $\bullet \quad \text{Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate } \\ \text{N/A} \\ \text{appropriate?}$

Explain:

This fatality did not occur on an open SCR investigation.

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 3 of 17



Household

Child Fatality Report

| Was the decision to close the callwas casework activity comme | • • • | nd relevant statutory or | N/A Yes | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| regulatory requirements? | as at account appropriate as | | 1 00 | |
| Was there sufficient document | ation of supervisory consul | tation? | Yes, the case record has | |
| | | | detail of the consultation. | |
| Explain: There was not an SCR investiga | tion as a result of this fatality | 7. | | |
| Ç | , | | | |
| | Required Actions R | elated to the Fatality | | |
| Are there Required Actions re | lated to the compliance issu | ue(s)? □Yes ⊠No | | |
| Fata | lity-Related Information | n and Investigative Activ | vities | |
| | | | | |
| | Incident In | nformation | | |
| Date of Death: 07/06/2016 | | Time of Death: 10:29 AM | | |
| Time of fatal incident, if differ | ent than time of death: Unl | known | | |
| County where fatality incident | occurred: | MONROE | | |
| Was 911 or local emergency n | ımber called? | No | | |
| Did EMS to respond to the sce | ne? | No | | |
| At time of incident leading to o | leath, had child used alcoho | ol or drugs? N/A | | |
| Child's activity at time of incid | | | | |
| ☐ Sleeping | ☐ Working | | ng / Vehicle occupant | |
| ☐ Playing | \square Eating | ☐ Unkr | nown | |
| ☑ Other: at birth | | | | |
| Did child have supervision at t | ime of incident leading to d | eath? Yes | | |
| Is the caretaker listed in the H Composition? No | ousehold | | | |
| At time of incident supervisor | was: Not | | | |
| impaired. | 1100 | | | |
| Total number of deaths at inci | dent event: | | | |
| Children ages 0-18: 1 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Deceased Child's Household | Deceased Child | No Role | Male | 2 Month(s) |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------|------|------------|
| | | | | |

Role

Gender

Age

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Relationship



| Deceased Child's Household | Father | No Role | Male | 42 Year(s) |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|--------|------------|
| Deceased Child's Household | Mother | No Role | Female | 39 Year(s) |
| Deceased Child's Household | Sibling | No Role | Female | 15 Year(s) |
| Other Household 1 | Sibling | No Role | Female | 9 Year(s) |
| Other Household 2 | Sibling | No Role | Male | 2 Year(s) |
| Other Household 2 | Sibling | No Role | Female | 2 Year(s) |

LDSS Response

MCDHS met with the family and assessed the families' functioning. MCDHS offered the family grief services and spoke to appropriate medical providers as to the SC's condition and death.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Natural

Primary Cause of Death: Unknown

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Hospital physician

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? Yes

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

| | Yes | No | N/A | Unable to Determine |
|--|-----|----|-----|------------------------|
| All children observed? | X | | | |
| When appropriate, children were interviewed? | X | | | |
| Contact with source? | | | X | |
| All appropriate Collaterals contacted? | X | | | |
| Was a death-scene investigation performed? | | | X | |
| Coordination of investigation with law enforcement? | | | X | |
| Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation? | X | | | |

Fatality Safety Assessment Activities

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 5 of 17



Unable to

| | 165 | 110 | 11/// | Determine |
|--|---|--------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household? | × | | | |
| Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate din the household named in the report: | langer to su | irviving sib | lings/other | children |
| Within 24 hours? | | | X | |
| At 7 days? | × | | | |
| At 30 days? | × | | | |
| Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours? | | | X | |
| Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district? | | X | | |
| When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate? | | | × | |
| Explain: The SC was medically fragile and died as a result. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessm | ent Profile | | | |
| Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessm | ent Profile | | | Unable to |
| Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessm | ent Profile Yes | No | N/A | Unable to Determine |
| | | No | N/A | |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? | Yes | | | Determine |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the | Yes 🗵 | | | Determine |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? | Yes 🗵 | | | Determine |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? | Yes X X | | | Determine |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case | Yes | | | Determine |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? | Yes | | | Determine |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case | Yes | | | Determine |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case | Yes X X X Ity Investigat | | | Determine Unable to |
| Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case Placement Activities in Response to the Fatalian Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in | Yes X X X Sty Investigate Yes | ion No | N/A | Determine Unable to |



Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

| Have any | Orders | of Protection | been | issued? | No |
|----------|--------|---------------|------|---------|----|
|----------|--------|---------------|------|---------|----|

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

| Services | Provided After Death | Offered, but Refused | Offered, Unknown if Used | Needed but not Offered | Needed but Unavaliable | N/A | CDR Lead to Referral |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|
| Bereavement counseling | × | | | | | | |
| Economic support | | | | | | × | |
| Funeral arrangements | | | | | | × | |
| Housing assistance | | | | | | × | |
| Mental health services | | | | | | × | |
| Foster care | | | | | | × | |
| Health care | | | | | | × | |
| Legal services | | | | | | × | |
| Family planning | | | | | | × | |
| Homemaking Services | | | | | | × | |
| Parenting Skills | | | | | | × | |
| Domestic Violence Services | | | | | | × | |
| Early Intervention | | | | | | × | |
| Alcohol/Substance abuse | | | | | | × | |
| Child Care | | | | | | × | |
| Intensive case management | | | | | | × | |
| Family or others as safety resources | | | | | | × | |
| Other | | | | | | × | |

Additional information, if necessary:

All services that were in place prior to the SC's death remained active. Other than bereavement counseling, there were no further services provided as a result of the death.

History Prior to the Fatality



| Child Information | |
|--|--|
| Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death? Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to the | Yes Yes No nis child's death? Yes |
| Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death? | Yes |
| Infants Under One Year | · Old |
| | |
| During pregnancy, mother: | |
| ☐ Had medical complications / infections | ☐ Had heavy alcohol use |
| ☐ Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs | ☐ Smoked tobacco |
| ☐ Experienced domestic violence | ☐ Used illicit drugs |
| ☑ Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues listed | |
| | |
| Infant was born: | |
| ☐ Drug exposed | ☐ With fetal alcohol effects or syndrome |
| ☑ With neither of the issues listed noted in case record | · |
| | |

CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

| Date of SCR Report | Alleged Victim(s) | Alleged Perpetrator(s) | Allegation(s) | Status/Outcome | Compliance Issue(s) |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 04/21/2016 | 13711 - Deceased Child, Male, 2 Months | 13714 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Unfounded | Yes |

Report Summary:

The report alleged the BM had a history of neglect of other children that have been placed in foster care. The BM gave birth to the SC on 4/17/16. Due to the BM's history of neglect and because the other children remain in foster care, the mother was not an adequate caregiver for the newborn baby.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 06/28/2016

Basis for Determination:

The SC was born on 4/17/16 with a congenital heart condition (Transposition of the Great Arteries). The SC needed to stay in the hospital until he was strong enough to undergo surgery to reposition the pulmonary artery and the aorta which would be several months. At the closing of the investigation, the SC remained at the hospital as his condition was unstable and needed to be closely monitored by medical staff. The SC was safe at the hospital while in the custody of his parents and a new SCR report would be made when the SC was ready for discharge. The parents were cooperative and appropriate with the hospital staff. The case remained open for foster/protective services.

OCFS Review Results:

MCDHS conducted an adequate assessment of immediate danger to the SC within 24 hours, addressed the majority of safety/risk factors with the parents, made appropriate collateral contacts, implemented appropriate safety plans and



assessed current service needs. MCDHS gathered sufficient information and appropriately determined each allegation. There was no documentation a 24-hour safety assessment was made for the 15yo half-sister or that all safety/risk factors were explored with the parents or the 15yo half-sister.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? $\boxtimes Yes \square No$

Issue:

Predetermination/Assessment of Current Safety and Risk

Summary:

There was no documentation a 24-hour safety assessment was made for the 15yo half-sister or that all safety/risk factors were explored with the parents or the 15yo half-sister.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.1(aa)

Action:

RRO is aware of a plan in place that addresses these concerns; therefore, no further action is required.

| Date of SCR Report | Alleged Victim(s) | Perpetrator(s) | | Status/Outcome | Compliance Issue(s) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 04/20/2016 | 13696 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 13691 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Sexual Abuse | Unfounded | Yes |

Report Summary:

The report alleged that during weekly home visits, the BF was sexually abusing the 2yo sister by touching her in the genital area for his own sexual gratification. This sexual abuse was occurring while the BF was bathing the child.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 06/07/2016

Basis for Determination:

The 2yo twins had a REACH exam which was normal but were unable to be interviewed due to their age. The parents and older siblings denied the allegations. The BF submitted to and passed a polygraph exam. MCDHS found no evidence to support the allegations and closed the investigation. The foster care/protective case remained open with the twins and the 9yo half-sister remaining in foster care.

OCFS Review Results:

MCDHS conducted an adequate assessment of immediate danger to all children within 24 hours, addressed the majority of safety/risk factors with the 15yo half-sister, and made appropriate collateral contacts; including speaking with the ongoing Caseworker. A joint investigation was conducted with law enforcement (LE). MCDHS gathered sufficient information and appropriately determined each allegation. There was no documentation that all safety/risk factors were explored with the parents or the 9yo half-sister. The SC was not added to the investigation.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? ⊠Yes □No

Issue:

Pre-Determination/Assessment of Current Safety/Risk

Summary:

There was no documentation all safety/risk factors were explored with the parents or the 9yo half-sister. The SC was not added to the investigation.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2 (b)(3)(iii)(b)

Action:

RRO is aware of a plan in place that addresses these concerns; therefore, no further action is required.

| Date of SCR | Alleged | Alleged | Allegation(s) | Status/Outcome Compliance |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 9 of 17



| Report | Victim(s) | Perpetrator(s) | | | Issue(s) |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|
| 04/08/2016 | 13595 - Sibling, Female, | 13596 - Mother, Female, | Inadequate | Unfounded | Yes |
| 04/08/2016 | 15 Years | 39 Years | Guardianship | Omounded | 1 68 |

Report Summary:

The report alleged the 15yo half-sister was brought to the hospital 4/7/16 for taking old medicine prescriptions from the top of the refrigerator. Some of them was for migraine headaches, Risperdal, pre-natal vitamins, etc. The 15yo half-sister has threatened suicide in the past and has mental health issues. The BM was remiss in leaving out medicines where the child could get her hands on them knowing her threats of suicide. The 15yo half-sister discharge was scheduled for 4/9/16.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 06/13/2016

Basis for Determination:

The 15yo half-sister got in trouble and reported taking medications when she was angry. The BM took appropriate steps to obtain medical care for the half-sister. The hospital was unclear if she actually ingested any medications. The medications were no longer accessible to the half-sister which MCDHS observed. The hospital required the half-sister follow up with the pediatrician, chemical dependency, and mental health which they did. As a result of the BM's appropriate actions, the investigation was closed and the foster care/protective case remained open. The 15yo half-sister was at home, the SC was at the hospital and the three other siblings remained in foster care.

OCFS Review Results:

MCDHS conducted an adequate assessment of immediate danger to all children within 24 hours, addressed the majority of safety/risk factors, implemented appropriate safety plans when needed and assessed current service needs. MCDHS gathered sufficient information and appropriately determined each allegation. There was no documentation DV was explored with the family.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Issue:

Pre-Determination/Assessment of Current Safety/Risk

Summary:

There was no documentation that DV was explored with the family.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2 (b)(3)(iii)(b)

Action:

RRO is aware of a plan in place that addressed this concerns; therefore, no further action is required.

| Date of SCR Report | Alleged Victim(s) | Alleged Perpetrator(s) | Allegation(s) | Status/Outcome | Compliance Issue(s) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 01/25/2016 | 13584 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse | Unfounded | Yes |
| | 13584 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse | Unfounded | |
| | 13585 - Sibling, Male, 2 Years | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Unfounded | |
| | 13586 - Sibling, Female, 9 Years | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Unfounded | |
| | 13587 - Sibling, Female, 15 Years | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Unfounded | |
| | 13584 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |



| Female, 2 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Alcohol Misuse | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| 13585 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Male, 2 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Alcohol Misuse | Omounded | |
| 13587 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Female, 15 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Alcohol Misuse | Uniounded | |
| 13585 - Sibling, | 12501 Eather Male 42 Veers | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Male, 2 Years | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Alcohol Misuse | Omounded | |
| 13586 - Sibling, | 12501 Eather Male 42 Veers | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Female, 9 Years | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Alcohol Misuse | Onfounded | |
| 13587 - Sibling, | 12501 Eather Male 42 Veers | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Female, 15 Years | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Alcohol Misuse | Uniounded | |
| 13584 - Sibling, | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 | Inadequate | I Informated | |
| Female, 2 Years | Years | Guardianship | Unfounded | |
| 13585 - Sibling, | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Male, 2 Years | Years | Alcohol Misuse | Uniounded | |
| 13586 - Sibling, | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Female, 9 Years | Years | Alcohol Misuse | Unfounded | |
| 13586 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Female, 9 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Guardianship | Uniounded | |
| 13587 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Female, 15 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Guardianship | Omounded | |
| 13584 - Sibling, | 12501 Eather Male 42 Veers | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Female, 2 Years | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Guardianship | Uniounded | |
| 13585 - Sibling, | 12501 Eather Male 42 Veers | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Male, 2 Years | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Guardianship | Omounded | |
| 13586 - Sibling, | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Female, 9 Years | 13381 - Father, Male, 42 Tears | Guardianship | Uniounded | |
| 13587 - Sibling, | 12501 Eather Male 42 Veers | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Female, 15 Years | 13581 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Guardianship | Uniounded | |
| 13587 - Sibling, | 13582 - Mother, Female, 39 | Parents Drug / | Unfounded | |
| Female, 15 Years | Years | Alcohol Misuse | Uniounded | |
| 13584 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Female, 2 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Guardianship | Uniounded | |
| 13585 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Inadequate | Unfounded | |
| Male, 2 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Guardianship | Unfounded | |
| 13586 - Sibling, | 13583 - Unrelated Home | Parents Drug / | I I.a.f | |
| Female, 9 Years | Member, Male, 30 Years | Alcohol Misuse | Unfounded | |

Report Summary:

The reports alleged the parents and the unrelated household member (UHM) abused drugs to the point of impairment and were unable to care for the children. The parents used crack cocaine 3-4 times a week and the UHM abused heroin daily.

On 3/1/16, additional information report was received with concerns the parents were using cocaine and heroin and stealing to support their drug habit and the BM was having the 15yo half-sister to steal as well. There were also concerns the 15yo half-sister "may" be sleeping with the BF due to her acting seductive around him but there was no information of actual sexual relations occurring.

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 11 of 17



Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 04/29/2016

Basis for Determination:

All denied the adults were using drugs/alcohol or were under the influence caring for the children except the 15yo half-sister who stated the parents used alcohol. The parents were not under the influence during MCDHS' visits and their drug screens were negative. The UHM was in the home but he was arrested on 1/28/16 for possession of narcotics which the parents denied knowledge of.

The SC was born during the case and remained in the hospital. The 15yo half-sister was home on trial discharge and the three siblings remained in foster care. MCDHS found no credible evidence to support the allegations and closed the investigation. The case remained open for foster care/protective services.

OCFS Review Results:

MCDHS conducted an adequate assessment of immediate danger to all children within 24 hours, addressed the majority of safety/risk factors, implemented appropriate safety plans when needed and assessed current service needs of DV and substance abuse services. MCDHS gathered sufficient information and appropriately determined each allegation. MCDHS provided the family with safe sleep information. However, there was no documentation DV was explored with the family and the SC was not added to the investigation. Finally, MCDHS addressed the drug use for the additional information but there was no documentation the concerns of the interactions between the BF and 15yo half-sister were addressed.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? ⊠Yes □No

Issue:

Predetermination/Assessment of Current Safety and Risk

Summary:

There was no documentation DV was explored with the family and the SC was not added to the investigation. Finally, MCDHS addressed the drug use from the additional information but there was no documentation the concerns of the interactions between the BF and 15yo half-sister were addressed.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.1(aa)

Action:

RRO is aware of a plan in place that addresses these concerns; therefore, no further action is required.

| Date of SCR Report | Alleged Victim(s) | Alleged Perpetrator(s) | Allegation(s) | Status/Outcome | Compliance Issue(s) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 01/18/2015 | 13517 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse | Indicated | No |
| | 13522 - Sibling, Female, 9 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Indicated | |
| | 13522 - Sibling, Female, 9 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse | Indicated | |
| | 13523 - Sibling, Female, 15 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Indicated | |
| | 13517 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Indicated | |
| | 13517 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse | Indicated | |
| | 13518 - Sibling, Male, 2 Years | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Indicated | |

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 12 of 17



| 13518 - Sibling, Male, 2 | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 | Parents Drug / | Indicated |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Years | Years | Alcohol Misuse | |
| 13522 - Sibling, Female, | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 | Inadequate | Indicated |
| 9 Years | Years | Guardianship | |
| 13522 - Sibling, Female, | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 | Parents Drug / | Indicated |
| 9 Years | Years | Alcohol Misuse | |
| 13523 - Sibling, Female, | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 | Inadequate | Indicated |
| 15 Years | Years | Guardianship | |
| 13517 - Sibling, Female, | 13524 - Mother, Female, | Inadequate | Indicated |
| 2 Years | 39 Years | Guardianship | |
| 13518 - Sibling, Male, 2 | 13524 - Mother, Female, | Inadequate | Indicated |
| Years | 39 Years | Guardianship | |
| 13518 - Sibling, Male, 2 | 13524 - Mother, Female, | Parents Drug / | Indicated |
| Years | 39 Years | Alcohol Misuse | |
| 13523 - Sibling, Female, | 13524 - Mother, Female, | Parents Drug / | Indicated |
| 15 Years | 39 Years | Alcohol Misuse | |
| 13523 - Sibling, Female, | 13525 - Father, Male, 42 | Parents Drug / | Indicated |
| 15 Years | Years | Alcohol Misuse | |
| 13518 - Sibling, Male, 2 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Lack of Supervision | Indicated |
| 13517 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Lack of Supervision | Indicated |
| 13522 - Sibling, Female, 9 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Lack of Supervision | Indicated |
| 13523 - Sibling, Female, 15 Years | 13524 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Lack of Supervision | Indicated |

Report Summary:

Two SCR reports were received on 1/18/15 that were consolidated into one case. The reports alleged the parents smoked crack cocaine in the presence of the children. While under the influence, the BM became incoherent and falls asleep leaving the 15yo half-sister to care for the children which was too much responsibility for her. The reports further alleged the BM offered the 15yo half-sister crack cocaine and there was drug paraphernalia around that was easily accessible to the children. Finally, the report alleged the BM was verbally abusive to the children and the 15yo half-sister was not attending school.

Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 04/19/2015

Basis for Determination:

MCDHS found the parents failed to provide a minimal degree of care and placed the children at imminent risk of harm. The parents continued to use drugs/alcohol while caring for the children and were non-compliant with treatment. The parents left the home to use drugs leaving the 15yo and 9yo half-sisters to care for the 7mo twins. The BM took the half-sisters with her to purchase drugs and provided the 15yo half-sister with drugs which the BF denied knowledge of. The 9yo half-sister reported the BM called her names, slapped, kicked, and pulled her hair. Preventive services and day care were put in place to no avail. On 3/12/15, the children were removed from the home.

OCFS Review Results:

MCDHS conducted an adequate assessment of immediate danger to all children within 24 hours, completed adequate safety and risk assessments, implemented appropriate safety plans when needed, and adequately assessed and offered appropriate services. MCDHS made appropriate collateral contacts. MCDHS gathered sufficient information and appropriately determined each allegation of abuse and maltreatment.

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 13 of 17



| Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? □Yes ⊠No | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Date of SCR Report | Alleged Victim(s) | Alleged Perpetrator(s) | Allegation(s) | Status/Outcome | Compliance Issue(s) |
| 07/30/2014 | 12851 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 12855 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Unfounded | No |
| | 12851 - Sibling, Female, 2 Years | 12855 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse | Unfounded | |
| | 12852 - Sibling, Male, 2 Years | 12855 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse | Unfounded | |
| | 12853 - Sibling, Female, 9 Years | 12855 - Mother, Female, 39 Years | Inadequate Guardianship | Unfounded | |

Inadequate

Guardianship

Parents Drug /

Alcohol Misuse

Inadequate

Guardianship

Parents Drug /

Alcohol Misuse

Unfounded

Unfounded

Unfounded

Unfounded

Report Summary:

The SCR report alleged the BM used crack cocaine to impairment while the sole care giver to 15yo half-sister, 9yo half-sister and the 2yo twins (who were 13, 8 and 7-weeks-old at the time of the SCR report). The BM was in a program before the twins were born but started using right after the twins were born.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 09/19/2014

39 Years

39 Years

39 Years

39 Years

Basis for Determination:

MCDHS investigated and all parties denied the allegations were true. The BM did admit to relapsing but she was not in the presence of the children. The MGM had no concerns the BM was using drugs and reported if she suspected she would notify MCDHS. During home visits, no one appeared to be under the influence and there was no evidence of drugs or alcohol. The interactions between the BM and children were affectionate. MCDHS discussed and provided safe sleep information to the family. As a result, MCDHS unsubstantiated the allegations.

OCFS Review Results:

MCDHS conducted an adequate assessment of immediate danger to all children within 24 hours, completed adequate safety and risk assessments, implemented appropriate safety plans when needed, and adequately assessed and offered appropriate services. MCDHS made appropriate collateral contacts. MCDHS gathered sufficient information and appropriately determined each allegation of abuse and maltreatment.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? $\square Yes \square No$

12854 - Sibling, Female, 12855 - Mother, Female,

12854 - Sibling, Female, 12855 - Mother, Female.

12852 - Sibling, Male, 2 12855 - Mother, Female,

12853 - Sibling, Female, 12855 - Mother, Female,

15 Years

15 Years

9 Years

CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality

There were seven SCR reports received from 7/9/06-10/28/12. One case was tracked as a traditional investigation. The remaining six SCR reports were tracked FAR with three of the SCR reports consolidated and closed into an open FAR case.

The traditional investigation was indicated for IG and PDAM against the BM regarding the 15yo half-sister who was a

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 14 of 17



newborn at the time. The BM did not have food or supplies for the half-sister and she did not have stable housing. A neglect petition was filed in family court and the half-sister was placed in foster care. The investigation was closed and a foster care case was opened. The maternal grandmother later obtained custody.

For the FAR cases, the subject was the BM and the 9yo half-sister was the maltreated child. The allegations were IG, LS, and PDAM. During the first two FAR cases, all denied the BM was using crack cocaine or leaving the child with inappropriate caregivers. During the last case, the BM admitted that she and other people were using crack cocaine in the home with the 9yo half-sister present. The BM made a plan for the half-sister to stay with family if she planned to use. The BM made an appointment for substance abuse treatment, attend mental health counseling and planned to attend AA meetings. The case was open for eight weeks and the BM did not relapse and she was utilizing supports.

Known CPS History Outside of NYS

There was no known CPS history outside of NYS.

Services Open at the Time of the Fatality

Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open Child Protective Services case at the time of the fatality? Yes Date the Child Protective Services case was opened: 01/29/2015

Evaluative Review of Services that were Open at the Time of the Fatality

| | Yes | No | N/A | Unable to Determine |
|--|-----|----|-----|---------------------|
| Was there information in the case record that indicated the existence of behaviors or conditions that placed the children in the case in danger or increased their risk of harm? | X | | | |

Family Assessment and Service Plan (FASP)

| | Yes | No | N/A | Unable to Determine | |
|--|-----|----|-----|---------------------|--|
| Was the most recent FASP approved on time? | | X | | | |
| If not, how many days was it overdue? | | | | | |
| Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP? | × | | | | |
| Was the FASP consistent with the case circumstances? | X | | | | |

| Closing | | | | |
|---------|-----|----|------|-----------|
| | | | | |
| | Voc | No | NI/A | Unable to |



| | | | | Determine |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Was the decision to close the Services case appropriate? | | | × | |
| | | | | |
| Required Action(s) | | | | |
| Required Action(s) | | | | |
| Are there Required Actions related to compliance issues for provision ☐Yes ⊠No | s of CPS or | Preventive | services? | |
| Preventive Services History | 7 | | | |
| As a result of the 1/18/15 SCR report, an intensive preventive service was addressing the BF's drug use and his behaviors, as well as, the BM recove families' finances and housing. The MGM passed away and the 15yo half sister had a conflictual relationship and the half-sister was displaying PIN using drugs and sometimes in the presence of the children. The parents we result, all children were removed and placed in foster care. The preventive case remained open. | Fry. There w Esister return S behaviors. Free leaving t | ere also cond ned home. The During the he children h | cerns regard he BM and case, the B nome alone | ling the 15yo half- M began at night. As a |
| Required Action(s) Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issues for provi □Yes ⊠No | sion of Fost | ter Care Ser | vices? | |
| Foster Care Placement Histo | ry | | | |
| As a result of the 7/9/06 SCR report, the 15yo half-sister, who was an infa 7/9/06 after the BM admitted to daily cocaine use and had sporadic prenat positive for cocaine at the time of her birth. The BM was not employed, li infant. On 5/24/07, the Monroe County Family Court ordered the 15yo ha closed on 11/30/07, as the BM completed the court ordered substance abu expired on 11/23/07 and the case was closed on 11/30/07. | al care. The ved with fric lf-sister back | BM and the ends and had k into the car | 15yo half- I no provisi re of the BN | ons for the M. The case |
| As a result of the 1/18/15 SCR report, the four siblings were removed from of the parents' drug use. The parents engaged with services; however, related half-sisters went on trial discharge on 11/20/15 but the 9yo half-sister returning use and DV between the parents. The parents attended DV counseling services were provided for the mother and the two half-sisters. The foster siblings remained in foster care and the 15yo half-sister was home on trial | psed and ha rned to foste g and comp care/protect | d to reengager care on 4/2 leted parenti | e with serv 25/16 due tong classes. | ices. The two o concerns of Counseling |
| Legal History Within Three Years Prior | to the Fatalit | y | | |
| Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality inv ☑Family Court ☐Criminal Court | | order of Prote | ection | |

RO-16-010 FINAL Page 16 of 17



| Family Court Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Date Filed: | Fact Finding Description: | Disposition Description: | |
| 03/12/2015 | Other, Specify | Care/Custody to Local Social Services District | |
| Respondent: | 031622 Mother Female 39 Year(s) | | |
| Comments: | On 3/12/15, MCDHS filed an Article 10 petition against the BM due to her and the BF's continued drug use, leaving the children home alone, using drugs in the presence of the children, providing drugs to the 15yo half-sister, and taking the children with her to buy drugs. On 7/9/15, the BM accepted an ACD with Marie B wavier. | | |

| Family Court Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Date Filed: | Fact Finding Description: | Disposition Description: | |
| 03/12/2015 | Adjudicated Neglected | Care/Custody to Local Social Services District | |
| Respondent: | 034661 Father Male 42 Year(s) | | |
| Comments: | On 3/12/15, MCDHS filed an Article 10 petition against the BF due to his and the BM's continued drug use, leaving the children home alone, using drugs in the presence of the children, and allowing the 15yo half-sister to use drugs per the 1/18/15 SCR report. | | |

| Have any Orders of Protection been issued? Yes | | | |
|--|-------------|--|--|
| From: 03/12/2015 | To: Unknown | | |
| Explain: An OOP was put into place upon the children entering foster care. | | | |

| Recommended Action(s) | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Accommended Action(s) | |

Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? $\square Yes \boxtimes No$

Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? $\square Yes \boxtimes No$